```
1
     IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
          FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 3
                         MARSHALL DIVISION
 4
 5
     PATTY BEALL, MATTHEW MAXWELL,
     DAVID GRAVELY, TALINA MCELHANY, )
     KELLY HAMPTON, CASEY BROWN,
 6
     JASON BONNER, KEVIN TULLOS,
     ANTHONY DODD, ILENE MEYERS,
 7
     TOM O'HAVER, JOY BIBLES, DON
 8
     LOCCHI and MELISSA PASTOR,
     Individually and on behalf of
 9
     all others similarly situated; )
10
         Plaintiffs
11
     vs.
                                      ) 2:08-cv-422-TJW
12
     TYLER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., and
     EDP ENTERPRISES, INC.
13
               Defendants.
14
15
16
                            DEPOSITION OF
17
                        CHRISTOPHER HEPBURN
18
                          PORTLAND, MAINE
19
                          AUGUST 18, 2010
20
21
     ATKINSON-BAKER, INC.
22
     COURT REPORTERS
     (800) 288-3376
23
     www.depo.com
24
     REPORTED BY: Cheryl C. Pieske, RMR
25
    FILE NO.: A40636E
```

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 3
                         MARSHALL DIVISION
 4
 5
     PATTY BEALL, MATTHEW MAXWELL, )
     DAVID GRAVELY, TALINA MCELHANY, )
 6
 7
     KELLY HAMPTON, CASEY BROWN,
     JASON BONNER, KEVIN TULLOS, )
 8
     ANTHONY DODD, ILENE MEYERS, )
 9
10
     TOM O'HAVER, JOY BIBLES, DON )
     LOCCHI and MELISSA PASTOR, )
11
     Individually and on behalf of )
12
13
     all others similarly situated; )
14
               Plaintiff,
15
                                    ) 2:08-cv-422 TJW
          vs.
     TYLER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., and, )
16
17
     EDP ENTERPRISES, INC.,
18
               Defendants.
19
20
21
               Deposition of CHRISTOPHER HEPBURN, taken on
     behalf of Plaintiff, at Congress Street, Portland, Maine,
22
23
     commencing at 8:32 a.m., Wednesday, August 18, 2010, before
24
     Cheryl C. Pieske, RMR, Court Reporter and Notary
25
     Public.
```

1	A. I wouldn't say that, That is questions and	08:58:47
2	answers are one example. There could be others, off the	08:58:51
3	top of my head, of what I had conducted.	08:58:54
4	Q. Okay. Like what?	08:58:57
5	A. Another example would be their banking. Did	08:58:58
6	they want positive pay, did I have to contact the bank,	08:59:07
7	obtain bank files. So that would be something that I	08:59:11
8.	would have done on behalf of the client, but it wouldn't	08:59:14
9	be a question-and-answer session	08:59:17
10	Q. Uh-hmm,	08:59:18
11	A in that type of analysis.	08:59:19
12	Q. Was that part of your normal duties, or was	08:59:21
13	that something that was just came up on occasion?	08:59:23
14	MR. McKEEBY: Object to the form of the question.	08:59:26
15	You can answer.	08:59:32
16	A. Every single client is different. Every single	08:59:33
17	implementation is different. There is no there is no	08:59:38
1.8	cookie cutter approach: (So it's hard for me to answer	08:59:42
19	that because at Client A, I may have dealt with the	08:59:45
20	banking items. At Client B I may not have. So it's I	08:59:50
21	think the example is just that Tt.'s an example but not	08:59:57
22	(meant to imply that that's that there was a set a	09:00:01
23	set model that was repeatable	09:00:08
24	Q. There would be things that would come up	09:00:11
25	repetitively though, correct?	09:00:13

1	A. Certainly.	09:00:14
2	Q. What types of things would come up	09:00:18
3	repetitively?	09:00:20
4	A. In a financial application and payroll	09:00:20
5	application and tax and utilities, which is what MUNIS	09:00:30
6	is, you would have a chart of accounts, you would have	09:00:34
7	vendors, you would have employees, you would have	09:00:38
8	customers that you were going to bill. You'd have those	09:00:40
9	repetitive situations that you would need to establish in	09:00:44
10	the software.	09:00:49
11	Q. And the software didn't change, correct, unless	09:00:49
12	there was a new version or, you know, something like that	09:00:53
13	(happened?)	09:00:56
14	A) [I would say it changed a lot.]	09:00:56
15	Q() Okay.) (How did it change?)	09:00:58
16	A. There are the developers are showing up to	09:00:59
17	(work every day). (They!'re constantly changing the	09:01:06
18	(software.) (That's their job.) So I would expect at client)	09:01:09
19	A to work with a version of software that was different	09:01:14
20	from-client B.	09:01:17
21	Q. Uh-hmm.	09:01:17
22	A. I guess I was just just used to that.	09:01:18
23	Q. And the versions that would come in and change,	09:01:21
24	would they be updates?	09:01:24
25	A. You could have two paths. An update is	09:01:25

1	A. That depends on many factors.	09:45:59
2	Q. Like what?	09:46:05
3	A. Does the individual implementor have the	09:46:06
4	expertise to do that, does the project manager want to	09:46:11
5	delegate that function to the implementor, how many	09:46:17
6	projects is the project manager working with, how many	09:46:25
7	implementors that project manager has reporting to him or	09:46:30
8	her relative to the number of projects, meaning are they	09:46:33
9	forced to delegate more often than not.	09:46:37
10	Q So it may be an aspect of managerial style or	09:46:40
11	practice?	09:46:44
12	A. One that would be one potential reason.	09:46:44
13	Q. Uh-hmm. Anything else?	09:46:47
14	A. The ones I mentioned are what come to my mind.	09:46:51
15	Q. Okay. How else do you believe the job duties	09:46:54
16	or functions of the implementation specialist at ERP are	09:47:01
17	different from other divisions?	09:47:07
18	A. There are numerous examples. I will provide	09:47:08
19	another.	09:47:20
20	Q. Let me stop you and withdraw the question, and	09:47:22
21	maybe it will be easier to do it this way. I'm trying to	09:47:25
22	get things to be as brief as possible.	09:47:28
23	A. Okay.	09:47:30
24	Q. How are they similar to the job functions	09:47:30
25	performed by implementation specialists in other	09:47:36

1	divisions?	09:47:38
2	A. How are they similar? Can you provide more	09:47:41
3	detail as to what	09:48:10
4	Q. Well, let's use what you have described as far	09:48:11
5	as what you did as a template. Maybe that's easier.	09:48:17
6	You've already testified that the ERP implementation	09:48:23
7	specialists may or may not analyze the current business	09:48:27
8	practices of the client. Correct?	09:48:30
9	A. At ERP?	09:48:31
10	Q. At ERP. Correct?	09:48:33
11	A. Correct.	09:48:35
12	Q. And they may or may not determine the	09:48:36
13	defendant's the client's, excuse me, business	09:48:40
14	practices or what they want to change also, correct, that	09:48:43
15	question and answer?	09:48:46
16	A. At ERP.	09:48:47
17	Q. At ERF. Do the implementation specialists	09:48:48
18	configure the software to adhere to the client's needs at	09:48:52
19	ERP?	09:48:57
20	Not every implementor.	09:48:57
21	(0. Okay) Which ones do, which ones don t?	09:49:03
22	(A.) (Based on experience)	09:49:07
23	Q. Uh-hmm. And what level? Where is the cutoff?	09:49:10
24	A. Well, the - experience is not something that's	09:49:13
25	clear-cut in terms of you might think it's years.	09:49:22
	,	

1	Well, it's not. (It's states that you work in) Every	09:49:27
2	state is different. Do you have the experience within a	09:49:32
3	particular state.) The application that you re working	09:49:34
4	in, do you have experience within the particular	09:49:39
5	(application,) (You might be an expert of payroll within	09:49:41
6	Massachusetts: You are not going to configure payroll	09:49:47
7	for New York, It's too different.	09:49:50
8	Q. But I guess my question is do they configure	09:49:54
9	software to adhere to the client's needs within the area	09:49:59
10	that they re assigned? Is that something that s part of	09:50:03
11	(their normal duties?	09:50:06
12	A. If they have the experience to do that and the	09:50:07
13	project manager delegates that	09:50:13
14	O. Okav.	09:50:16
15	(A.) (- within the ERF).	09:50:16
16	O: Okay So, once again, it may be up to the	09:50:18
17	(managerial style of the project manager?)	09:50:21
18	A: As one factor to determine that.	09:50:24
19	Q. Okay. And the other factor would be, I	09:50:28
20	presume, the experience level?	09:50:30
21	A. As I we described, experience being product	09:50:32
22	knowledge, state knowledge, years of service.	09:50:37
23	$\left(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_{+} \right) = \left(\mathbf{Q}_{+} \right) = \left$	09:50:41
24	consultants at ERP review that configuration with the	09:50:46
25	client and get the client acceptance?	09:50:50

1	(A) Not all;	09:50:54
2	Once again, we're back to managerial style?	09:50:59
3	Scyle and experience.	09:51:02
4	Q Do they review the conversion files and load	09:51:04
5	conversion Liles?	09:51:07
6	A Not all.	09:51:08
7	O. Okay. So we're back to managerial style and	09:51:09
8	(experience?)	09:51:12
9	(A.) Cotrect.	09:51:13
10	Q. Okay. Do they educate the sentor staff and the	09:51:13
11	user staff or train them?	09:51:17
12	A. That would be an area that would be more	09:51:19
13	predominant across across all implementors, meaning	09:51:24
14	that our less experienced implementors do transfer	09:51:29
15	knowledge, our more experienced do transfer knowledge,	09:51:35
16	and our project managers within ERP transfer knowledge.	09:51:37
17	So in that area the implementors would that particular	09:51:40
18	function would apply to the implementation position.	09:51:44
19	Q. Okay. When you say the implementation	09:51:48
20	position, are you referring to as it pertains to	09:51:51
21	implementors across all of the different divisions?	09:51:54
22	A. No. I was still referring to just ERP in that	09:51:57
23	example.	09:52:00
24	Q. Okay. So are you saying that that's the	09:52:00
25	primary job duty of the implementors at ERP is to educate	09:52:02

1	that we haven't discussed?	10:04:09
2	A. Not that I can recall right now.	10:04:10
3	Q. Okay. Now let's move on to Eden.	10:04:17
4	(A.) (Sure.)	10:04:22
5	0 What do the implementation specialists and	10:04:23
6	consultants what are their functions at Eden?	10:04:26
7	(The organizational structure at Eden is)	10:04:29
8	different than MUNIS.) The project manager is not a	10:04:33
9	product expert, which means more responsibility falls on	10:04:38
10	the implementation staff to perform all virtually all	10:04:47
11	(product-related items to get the client live.)	10:04:55
12	Q. Okay. And what do they have to do?	10:04:59
13	A. They would they would perform the analysis,	10:05:03
14	the to-be model definition, the configuration, marrying	.10:05:14
15	of the to-be model to the configuration, and work with	10:05:19
16	the client to execute that, and then the added	10:05:25
17	responsibility to educate the project manager, who is not	10:05:29
18	a product expert, on where the project is relative to	10:05:32
19	milestones.	10:05:37
20	Q. Okay. And would they do training as well?	10:05:38
21	A. That would be one component of their job.	10:05:43
22	Q. Okay. And then do they assist in the or do	10:05:45
23	they do the receive the client acceptance, review the	10:05:49
24	conversion files and load conversion files?	10:05:55
25	A. That would be also part of their job.	10:05:57

1	Q. And do they assist in the go-live in the ways	10:05:59
2	we've discussed before?	10:06:02
3	A. That would also be part of their job.	10:06:03
4	Q. And do they assist in go-live support?	10:06:04
5	A. Correct.	10:06:07
6	Q. Okay. And based upon what you have told me, it	10:06:09
7	sounds like it's your testimony that the implementation	10:06:13
8	specialists at Eden perform predominantly the kind of	10:06:16
9	tasks that you performed when you were an implementation	10:06:20
10	specialist; is that correct?	10:06:25
11	A. The predominantly I would say generally	10:06:34
12	they perform similar tasks. The difference is just	10:06:39
13	organizational structure where when I was at MUNTS, I had	10:06:46
14	a project manager who was a product expert who was	10:06:52
15	engaged in the project. (At Eden, the project manager is)	10:06:59
16	not a product expert, meaning that their implementation	10:07:03
17	consultants have a higher degree of responsibility than I	10:07:09
18	had.	10:07:13
19	Q. Okay. And is that how it is now or how it has	10:07:13
2.0	always been?	10:07:17
21	A. They have at Eden or MUNIS?	10:07:18
22	Q. Both places.	10:07:21
23	A. MUNIS has MUNIS has for my 17 years, all	10:07:22
24	but 1 1/2 years has been organized in the project	10:07:37
25	manager/implementor combination with a project manager as	10:07:40

1	time.	10:09:57
2	MR. McKEEBY: This is at INCODE?	10:09:57
3	THE DEPONENT: At INCODE dealing with and when we	10:09:59
4	get to it, Courts, and I can describe more later.	10:10:03
5	A. So that provides a level of, I would say,	10:10:10
6	management, getting all of your applications lined up to	10:10:21
7	go live at the same time where the Eden, the MUNIS, and	10:10:27
8	even INCODE financials can be staggered. You could do	10:10:31
9	financials one day, payroll one day.) (You could stagget)	10:10:36
10	it out. But they have a different burden because you	10:10:39
11	want all of your courts and your public safety up and	10:10:44
12	(running at one fell swoop so that when the sheriff pulls	10:10:49
13	(someone over and they have an outstanding warrant for	10:10:53
14	them, that sheriff needs to know that or that sheriff	10:10:55
15	could be in trouble So they have ton the	10:10:59
16	implementation consultants because they don't have a	10:11:02
17	(product project manager who is a product expert have)	10:11:04
18	that added burden of managing multi-tasking that the Eden	10:11:08
19	folks and MUNTS Folks don't have	10:11:13
20	Q. And so I might understand correctly, because it	10:11:15
21	needs to go live at the same time, it's really a timing	10:11:18
22	issue?	10:11:21
23	A. It's 'it's I'd call it a project	10:11:22
24	management juggling issue.	10:11:25
25	Q. Uh-hmm.	10:11:28

1	A.) (And, remember, the product - the project,	10:11:29
2	manager is not a product expert. They're less a less.	10:11:30
3	talented staff member than, say, their MUNIS	10:11:37
4	counterparts	10:11:40
5	Q. I know that that's the term you're giving it,	10:11:41
6	but how is it to you considered a project management	10:11:43
7	issue?	10:11:47
8	A. The project can you clarify your question?	10:11:49
9	Q. I'm just going off of your answer. I am sorry.	10:11:56
10	But you said you know you would call it a project	10:11:58
11	management burden, I believe	10:12:00
12	A. Uh-hmm.	10:12:00
13	Q if I recall your testimony correctly, and	10:12:06
1.4	I'm just curious as to how it is a project management	10:12:09
15	burden?	10:12:13
16	The implementation consultant is leading the	10:12:13
17	project at Eden and at INCODE. They are the product	10:12:18
18	expert. They're engaged with the client, marrying the	10:12:23
19	product and the project, and they're reporting back to	10:12:29
20	someone, the project manager, who is a scheduling master.	10:12:33
21	O. So is it is it your testimony that there are	10:12:37
22	project managers at Eden and INCODE, but their only	10:12:43
23	function is scheduling?	10:12:49
24	A. It would not be my testimony that their only	10:12:52
25	function is scheduling. I would say that they are	10:12:56

1	less their job duties and functions are less I'm	10:12:58
2	looking for the word. What would come less less than	10:13:09
3	their MUNIS counterpart where their MUNIS counterpart is	10:13:12
4	a product expert and the client expects to talk product,	10:13:16
5	with their MUNIS project manager expect to be able to	10:13:20
6	talk configuration, expects to be able to talk about why	10:13:24
7	decisions were made.	10:13:28
8	At Eden and INCODE the project manager is not going	10:13:29
9	to engage in those discussions with the client. They're	10:13:32
10	going to engage in your project is 50 percent complete	10:13:34
11	(based upon the number of days we have delivered.) (That, s)	10:13:41
12	(it.) (The client is going to have to talk to the	10:13:43
13	implementation staff member at Eden and INCODE to find	10:13:47
14	out where they are relative to their project in terms of	10:13:51
15	knowledge transfer, policies, procedures, to-be model.	10:13:56
16	(It's all the implementation consultant's advice and	10:14:00
17	(counsel.)	10:14:03
18	Q. What are the job duties of the project manager,	10:14:03
19	then, at Eden and INCODE?	10:14:06
20 -	A. They schedule the bull pen When I say bull	10:14:07
21	pen, all the implementors go into a calendar, if you	10:14:14
22	Will, and the project manager schedules implementors to	10:14:23
23	go to client sites; and then when the implementor goes to	10:14:28
24	the client site, the implementor then runs that project.	10:14:34
25	So the project manager is scheduling, reviewing, again,	10:14:36

•		
1	Q. Okay. And at MUNIS they do it zero percent of	10:16:16
2	the time; is that correct?	10:16:24
3	A. That is not correct (I think we discussed	10:16:25
4	earlier it's the project manager's discretion whether the	10:16:27
5	project manager would like to do it or they wanted to	10:16:31
6	delegate that task. (So it would depend.	10:16:35
7	Q. Okay. And if at Eden and INCODE it's	10:16:38
8	100 percent of the time that they do that, what percent	10:16:43
9	of the time would they spend doing the consulting with	10:16:47
10	the client versus their other tasks, training and, you	10:16:51
11	know, assisting with go-live and that type of thing?	10:16:54
12	A. I want to I don't like the I want to back	10:16:56
13	up. I don't like the term 100 percent. That's that's	10:17:06
14	too narrow a definition to say that someone at Eden and	10:17:09
15	INCODE. Some other staff member could work with a client	10:17:11
16	to do analysis.	10:17:15
17	Q. Like who?	10:17:18
18	A. They might it could be someone in the	10:17:18
19	support department that helps out on occasion. If there	10:17:23
20	was if I didn't have anyone scheduled to go to a	10:17:26
21	particular client site, we could ask a manager in support	10:17:29
22	or someone who had product knowledge. So to say it's	10:17:33
23	100 percent I think is too narrow, but predominantly	10:17:36
24	within those organizations the implementation specialists	10:17:39
25	are the ones engaging with clients for the analysis; but	10:17:44

1	Q. Okay. Explain that to me.	11:25:54
2	A. Well, I	11:25:54
3	Q. I don't think I understand your answer.	11:25:58
4	A. Well, I at Eagle, they might have an	11:26:00
5	internal comfort with allowing their implementors to	11:26:03
6	provide advice and counsel. A better example is	11:26:13
7	Appraisal and Tax; Appraisal and Tax; the seniority of	11:26:19
8	their implementation consultants averages around	11:26:26
9	15 years. They hire very experienced people to come on	11:26:29
10	board. The nature of implementing Appraisal and Tax is	. 11:26:33
11	extremely unique within Tyler because the audience you're	11:26:38
12	implementing are well educated in the science of property.	11:26:42
13	assessment. (We have to be well educated in the science)	11:26:47
14	of property assessment, which means that we hire a very	11:26:51
15	experienced, very knowledgeable person onto our team; and	11:26:56
16	as such, the implementation consultants at Appraisal and	11:27:02
17	Tax are, as part of their job, consultants, much like the	11:27:08
18	MUNTS consulting team, but they revnot differentiated	11:27:13
19	(within Tyler as that)	11:27:17
20	Within Courts and Justice, it's expected that when	11:27:18
21	I'm sitting next to someone who has been serving as the	11:27:21
22	local county assessor for 20 years that he or she and I	11:27:24
23	can engage in the science of assessment as relates to our	11:27:29
24	software and they expect our recommendations. Contrast	11:27:33
25	that to the implementor who!'s going to be training on	11:27:37
	,	

1	requisition entry who may have 5 months experience with	11:27:41
2	Tyler, and someone asks that individual whether or not	11:27:46
3	they should allow the end user to exceed the budget	11:27:50
4	That that implementor is not qualified to make that	11:27:54
5	assessment. They're going to be asked that question, but	11:27:57
6	they're not qualified to make that assessment. [It's just]	11:27:59
7	two different - two different jobs.	11:28:02
8	Q. Okay. So outside of what you've talked about,	11:28:03
9	is there any other discretion and judgments that you	11:28:07
10	claim is associated with the analyzing the business	11:28:10
11	practices and receiving information about changes they	11:28:14
12	want to do in the business practice?	11:28:17
13	A. Well, I want to clarify. I think I I want	11:28:19
14	to be on record as saying that the job of analyzing	11:28:22
15	customer data is all about discretion and independent	11:28:27
16	judgment, and I gave a particular example. The fact that	11:28:30
17	I gave one or two examples doesn't mean that there's only	11:28:33
18	one or two times. It's all the time there's discretion	11:28:36
19	and independent judgment in my opinion.	11:28:39
20	Q. Once again, in your opinion you're basically	11:28:41
21	talking about the fact that you are gathering information	11:28:43
22 _	from the client about how they would like the software to	11:28:45
23	work within the particular confines of their business and	11:28:49
24	what changes they'd like to make maybe from how their	11:28:55
25	previous software worked, and you receive that	11:28:59
		I

1	isolation, you've you're looking for trouble. So the	11:40:09
2	convert question comes up in the general analysis of how	11:40:15
3	we're going to define the to-be model, and it's part of	11:40:19
4	that iterative process. Do I convert payroll? Well, how	11:40:24
5	about we say yes on the no on the employee data but	11:40:29
6	yes on the W-2 history data. So and now I have got to	11:40:33
7	carry those two discussions all the way through. So when	11:40:37
8	I'm talking about reporting and quarterly 941 reports,	11:40:41
9	there is an impact all the way downstream on whether or	11:40:44
10	not I converted that W-2 information. So it's to talk	11:40:47
11	about conversions in isolation is I'm not programmed	11:40:51
12	to talk about that.	11:40:57
13	Q. The implementation specialist doesn't make	11:40:58
14	those decisions, you know, on their own, I mean, assuming	11:41:02
15	they make them at all. We've talked about that many do	11:41:08
1.6	not. But assuming that any do, it certainly would not be	11:41:11
17	done in isolation in the sense of I'm going to come in,	11:41:15
18	I'm going to analyze what you're doing, I am going to	11:41:18
19	decide what you need to do and how I need to get it done	11:41:21
20	through this new software?	11:41:24
21	A. Well, that's incorrect from the standpoint that	11:41:27
22	at MUNIS they're not alone because you have your project	11:41:31
23	manager to fall back on, but in Eden and INCODE, you're	11:41:35
24	(it.) (I mean, you're the implementation consultant.) Your	11:41:38
25	project manager is not going to assist you in the	11:41:41

1	convert-not convert discussion. So you're it. You're it	11:41:43
2	for Eden, INCODE, anyone else who uses the bull pen	11:41:47
3	approach. You've got to decide with that citent You're	11:41:51
4	not in isolation because the client is making that	11:41:53
5	dectision	11:41:56
6	Q. That's what I'm talking about. The client is	11:41:56
7	making the decision ultimately?	11:41:57
8	MR. McKEEBY: On convert or not convert?	11:42:00
9	MS. RAY: On on what information to convert or	11:42:03
10	not convert.	11:42:04
11	A. Well, I think I'm sorry. I didn't let you	11:42:07
12	finish.	11:42:09
13	BY MS. RAY:	11:42:09
14	Q. I mean, are they not?	11:42:09
15	A. The client would just as soon convert	11:42:10
16	everything because it means less work for them. It's the	11:42:17
17	implementation consultant's job to give them the pros and	11:42:20
18	cons of their decisions that they choose to make, meaning	11:42:23
19	I could an implementation consultant could tell their	11:42:28
20	client if we convert, you will have more work to do than	11:42:31
21	if we don't convert; and then can that implementation	11:42:34
22	consultant articulate with certainty and conviction why	11:42:39
23	converting data means more work for the client when it	11:42:49
24	would clearly simplistically seem to anyone that	11:42:52
25	converting data would mean less work for a client. And	11:42:56

1 2 CERTIFICATE 3 I, Cheryl C. Pieske, RMR, Notary Public in and. 4 5 for the State of Maine, hereby certify that on AUGUST 18, 6 2010, personally appeared before me the within-named 7 deponent who was sworn to testify the truth, the whole 8 truth and nothing but the truth in the aforementioned 9 cause of action; and the foregoing, as reduced to 10 computer type, is a true and accurate record of the 11 evidence as taken by me by means of stenotype. 12 I further certify that I am a disinterested person in the 13 event or outcome of the aforementioned cause. 14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I subscribe my hand at Waldo, Maine, 15 this 30th day of August, 2010. 16 17 Cheryl C. Pieske, RMR 18 Notary Public 19 My Commission Expires June 25, 2016. 20 21 22 23 24 25